

Key:	>>> ... marks correct (expected) result	N ... number of the results
	> ... marks conditionally correct (acceptable) result	Nrel ... relative number of the results
	± ... marks the result not evaluated	

Test

Sample	Group	N	Result	N _{rel}
--------	-------	---	--------	------------------

Set 1

(420) Anti-gliadin IgG (native)

A1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	19	Positive	100 %
Total per sample: n = 19		Success:	100 %		
B1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	19	Negative	100 %
Total per sample: n = 19		Success:	100 %		
Total success: 100 %					

(421) Anti-gliadin IgA (native)

A1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	18	Positive	100 %
Total per sample: n = 18		Success:	100 %		
B1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	17	Negative	94 %
			1	Inconclusive result (borderline)	5,6 %
Total per sample: n = 18		Success:	94 %		
Total success: 94 %					

(594) Anti-gliadin IgG (deamidated)

A1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1	Positive	1,4 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	61	Positive	84 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	9	Positive	12 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2	Positive	2,7 %
Total per sample: n = 73		Success:	100 %		
B1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1	Negative	1,4 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	61	Negative	84 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	9	Negative	12 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2	Negative	2,7 %
Total per sample: n = 73		Success:	100 %		
Total success: 100 %					

(595) Anti-gliadin IgA (deamidated)

A1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1	Positive	1,4 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	60	Positive	85 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	8	Positive	11 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2	Positive	2,8 %
Total per sample: n = 71		Success:	100 %		
B1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1	Negative	1,4 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	59	Negative	83 %
			1	Positive	1,4 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	8	Negative	11 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2	Negative	2,8 %
Total per sample: n = 71		Success:	99 %		
Total success: 99 %					

Set 2

(424) Anti-endomysium IgA

A2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	69	Negative	99 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	1	Negative	1,4 %
Total per sample: n = 70		Success:	100 %		
B2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	69	Positive	99 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	1	Positive	1,4 %
Total per sample: n = 70		Success:	100 %		
Total success: 100 %					

(425) Anti-transglutaminase IgA

A2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1	Negative	1,2 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	62	Negative	76 %
			1	Inconclusive result (borderline)	1,2 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	16	Negative	20 %
			1	Positive	1,2 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	1	Negative	1,2 %
Total per sample: n = 82		Success:	98 %		
B2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1	Positive	1,2 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	63	Positive	77 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	17	Positive	21 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	1	Positive	1,2 %
Total per sample: n = 82		Success:	100 %		
Total success: 98 %					

Test			N	Result	N _{rel}
Sample	Group				
Set 3					
(592) Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae IgA					
A3	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	21	Positive	34 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	39	Positive	64 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	1	Positive	1,6 %
----- Total per sample: n = 61		-----	Success: 100 %	-----	
B3	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	21	Negative	34 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	39	Negative	64 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	1	Negative	1,6 %
----- Total per sample: n = 61		-----	Success: 100 %	-----	
Total success: 100 %					
(593) Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae IgG					
A3	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	19	Positive	34 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	36	Positive	64 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA		1	Negative	1,8 %
----- Total per sample: n = 56		-----	Success: 98 %	-----	
B3	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	19	Negative	34 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	36	Negative	64 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	1	Negative	1,8 %
----- Total per sample: n = 56		-----	Success: 100 %	-----	
Total success: 98 %					
st_kl	End of report			Printed: 18.03.2022	